



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,331	03/09/2000	Tipin Ben Chang	ARAX-011	3278
7590	12/08/2003		EXAMINER	
David B Ritchie D'Alessandro & Ritchie P O Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640			NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/522,331	CHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Maikhhanh Nguyen	Art Unit 2176	
<i>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</i>			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 			
Status			
1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 September 2003</u> .			
2a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL . 2b) <input type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final.			
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>7-31</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.			
6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>7-31</u> is/are rejected.			
7) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.			
8) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) <input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) <input type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) <input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b) <input type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) <input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) <input type="checkbox"/> All b) <input type="checkbox"/> Some * c) <input type="checkbox"/> None of: 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
13) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) <input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.			
14) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.			
Attachment(s)			
1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .	
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .		6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment A filed 09/16/2003 to the original application filed 03/09/2000.
2. Claims 7-31 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1-6 and 13-16 have been canceled; Claims 18-31 have been added. Claims 7, 17 and 22 are independent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yalcinalp** (U.S. 6,507,857 – filed 03/2000, which is provisional application 60/123,916 – filed 03/1999).

As to independent claim 7, Yalcinalp teaches a method for a user to interface with a workflow server (*fig. 2*), including:

- accepting a task form from the user, the task form containing data tags and/or process tags, the data tags identifying persisted and non-persisted data component (*receiving a request for an input document...having tags...processing tags; col.2, lines 23-48*);
- passing the task form to an XML Execution Engine (*loading the external component in an style sheet processor; col.2, lines 23-67*);

- accessing an XML namespace to determine how to execute the process tags and/or how to interpret the data tags (*the external component may be defined...using a Namespace*; col.2, lines 23-67);

- executing the process tags (*When the style sheet processor processes the tags in the style sheet*; col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25); and

- returning an XML document back to the user, the XML document containing a reference to an XSL file and containing the output of the execution of the process tags; and displaying the XML document using the XSL file (*When the style sheet is completely processed by the XSLT processor... display on the client type for the user*; col.6, lines 27-43).

Yalcinalp does not explicitly use the terms storing the persisted data components in one or more form data tables and accessing a database if necessary.

Yalcinalp, however, does teach “*these style sheets 220, components 225, documents 230, may be contained ... or in other storage areas which the XSLT processor 205 can access*” (col.5, lines 37-59).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Yalcinalp for storing the persisted data components in one or more form data tables and accessing a database because it would have provided the capability for organizing and storing information in such a way that a computer program can quickly retrieve.

As to dependent claim 8, Yalcinalp teaches the accepting include accepting a user command from the user via a web browser (*Fig. 2*).

As to dependent claim 9, Yalcinalp teaches the process tags indicate one or more processes to be executed and the data tags indicate information (*col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25*).

As to dependent claim 10, Yalcinalp teaches the data tags and process tags are XML tags found in the XML name space (col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25).

As to dependent claim 11, Yalcinalp teaches the XSL file defines a stylesheet for displaying the returned XML document in the web browser (*col.1, lines 49-57*)

As to dependent claim 12, Yalcinalp teaches the XML namespace contains tags which define data tables, substitute data retrieved from the database, perform workflow functions, and generate the returned XML document according to logic described in the tags (*col.5, lines 7-50*).

As to dependent claim 19, Yalcinalp teaches the data tags include a single select type, wherein the single select type defines a set of possible values from which a user may select a single entry (*col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25*).

As to dependent claim 20, Yalcinalp teaches the data tags include a single select type, wherein the single select type defines a set of possible values from which a user may select multiple entries (*col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25*).

As to dependent claim 21, Yalcinalp teaches the data tags include a multiple column type, wherein the multiple column type defines a set of possible values from a base form data table, wherein a workflow server generates a separate column for each of the possible values from the form data table (*col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25*).

Independent claim 17 is directed to a program storage device for implementing the method of claim 7, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to dependent claim 18, Yalcinalp teaches the data tags include user-defined types(*col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25*).

Independent claim 22 is directed to an apparatus for performing the method of claim 7, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

Dependent claims 23-27 and 28-31 include the same limitations as in claims 8-12 and 18-21, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 09/16/2003 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that *Yalcinalp does not teach accessing a database* (Remarks, page 8, the third paragraph).

In response, Yalcinalp does not use the term “database”. However, the Examiner contends that Yalcinalp does suggest “*accessing a database*” and Yalcinalp’s teachings “*these style sheets 220, components 225, documents 230, may be contained ... or in other storage areas which the XSLT processor 205 can access*” (Yalcinalp; col.5, lines 37-59) meets the claimed limitations.

Applicant argues that *Neither Yalcinalp nor APA teach or suggest accepting a task form from the user, the task form containing data tags and/or process tags, the data tags identifying persisted and non-persisted data components* (Remarks, page 8, the last paragraph).

In response, the Examiner believes that the Yalcinalp teachings “*receiving a request for an input document...having tags...processing tags*” (col.2, lines 23-48) do read-on the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's.

Boden et al. U.S Patent No. 5,930,512 issue dated: Jul. 27, 1999

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).
Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhahan Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-0092.
The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am – 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-5403 for regular communications and (703) 308-5403 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2176

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Contact Information:

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 746-7238.
OFFICIAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 746-7239.
NON OFFICIAL faxes should be sent to (703) 746-7240.

All OFFICIAL faxes will be handled and entered by the docketing personnel. The date of entry will correspond to the actual FAX reception date unless that date is a Saturday, Sunday, or a Federal Holiday within the District of Columbia, in which case the official date of receipt will be the next business day. The application file will be promptly forwarded to the Examiner unless the application file must be sent to another area of the Office, e.g., Finance Division for fee charging, etc.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist). All hand-delivered responses will be handled and entered by the docketing personnel. Please do not hand deliver responses directly to the Examiner.

Maikhahan Nguyen
November 30, 2003



JOSEPH H. FEILD
PRIMARY EXAMINER